## THE CHURCH, THE CHRISTIAN, AND CULTURE PART 1: THEORY

Mark A. Snoeberger Asst. Professor of Systematic Theology, DBTS

Introduction:
Definitions:
Culture (evolutionary definition): a broad collection of human responses to reality. This approach assumes that people come into the world with neutrality and produce neutral, ever evolving results.
Culture (biblical definition): a product of one's religious worldview. There are two kinds of worldview: one that submits to God and one that does not. (Key Texts: Colossians 2:3-9; Ephesians 4:17)
Contextualization: penetrating culture for the sake of the gospel (Key Texts: John 17:14-17; 1 Corinthians 9:19-23)
Models for Engaging Culture:
Christ Against Culture — <b>Isolation</b> Christ Transforming Culture Christ and Culture in Paradox Christ Above Culture Christ of Culture — <b>Imitation</b>
1. Christ Against Culture:
a. Explanation: culture is irreparably and entirely bad (ex: Amish)
b. Weaknesses: ends up not in the world, no evangelistic engagement with the world.
c. Governing Rubric: <u>holiness</u>
2. Christ of Culture:
a. Explanation: everything in culture is either good or neutral (ex: drink alcohol if that's what it takes to connect or celebrate Lent if that's what it takes)
b. Weaknesses: not only in the world, but of it and loving it.
c. Governing Rubric: <u>love and assimilation</u>

3.	Christ Above Culture:
	a. Explanation: this is the least common position today, but most prevalent in church history. The idea is that we surround the non-Christian with Christianity, with or without ever speaking the gospel directly (ex: Roman Catholicism & the Magisterial Reformers. Contemporarily—sometimes the practical approach of lifestyle evangelism).
	b. Weaknesses: doesn't adequately account for depravity, surrounding the lost with Christian art will not just rub off.
	c. Governing Rubric: <u>nurture into faith (synthesis)</u>
4.	Christ Transforming Culture:
	a. Explanation: conversionist/take over of culture—eliminate abortion, gay marriage, etc., get a good Christian in the high courts.
	b. Weaknesses: forcible, perverts the mission of the church, low view of human depravity
	c. Governing Rubric: <u>reclamation / transformation</u>
5.	Christ and Culture in Paradox:
	a. Explanation: Luther's idea of 2 kingdoms—the world and the church—Christians are ambassadors of heaven in this world.
	b. Weaknesses:
	c. Governing Rubric: <u>antithesis / paradox</u>
m n	
The B	iblical Demands of a Valid Model for Engaging Culture:
•	A valid approach of the believer to culture recognizes that the Christian's evangelistic intersection with the world is both <u>possible</u> and <u>necessary</u> to fulfilling his God-given commission (Matt 28:18–20). It also recognizes that the <u>credibility</u> of the gospel message is directly connected to the believer's godliness (Matt 5:16; John 17:20–23; 2 Cor 10:5 Titus 2:6–10; 1 Pet 3:1, 18).
•	A valid approach of the believer to culture recognizes the extent and implications of
	• Some forms of contextualization are little more than a front for worldliness.
	• No amount of contextualization can turn the heart—contextualization has limits.
	Non-Christian cultural expressions are not neutral.
	• All expressions of the non-Christian are not necessarily entirely evil.
•	A valid approach of the believer to culture recognizes that while some contextualization of the believer's <a href="mailto:person">person</a> and <a href="mailto:person">person</a> may occur at the cultural level (1 Cor
	9:22–23), contextualization of his <u>message</u> cannot, since this resides at the religious worldview level and is non-negotiable (1 Cor 2:4–5).